**Question 1 – Data Sources 52 total**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Response** | **# of students** | **Percentage of whole** |
| **Books** | 33 |  |
|  - ebooks | 1 |  |
|  - government publications | 1 |  |
| - essays | 1 |  |
| - Google books | 1 |  |
| Course textbook | 2 |  |
| **Books TOTAL** | **48** |  |
| **Periodicals/Articles/Dbs** | 3 |  |
| - newspaper/newspaper articles | 12 |  |
|  - - newspaper archive | 1 |  |
| - magazine/ magazine articles | 16 |  |
|  - - emagazines | 2 |  |
| - scholarly journal/ journal articles | 4 |  |
| - Google Scholar | 1 |  |
| **SCC Library Databases** | 2 |  |
|  - ProQuest | 4 |  |
| - CQ Researcher | 1 |  |
| **Periodicals/Articles/Dbs TOTAL** | **46** |  |
| **Internet** | 24 |  |
| (Search engines)  |  |  |
|  - - Google | 18 |  |
|  - - Yahoo | 3 |  |
| - - Bing | 2 |  |
| - - MSN | 1 |  |
| (Domains) |  |  |
|  - - .gov | 6 |  |
|  - - .edu | 4 |  |
| - - .org | 2 |  |
|  - - .com | 1 |  |
|  - Wikipedia | 11 |  |
|  - “a paper”  | 1 |  |
| - SparkNotes | 1 |  |
| - History.com | 1 |  |
| - CNN.com | 1 |  |
| **Internet TOTAL**  | **76** |  |
| **Videos/DVD/Documentary** | 1 |  |
| - YouTube | 1 |  |
| **Videos/DVD/Documentary TOTAL** | **2** |  |
| **Interview/personal experiences** |  |  |
| - expert | 6 |  |
| - non-expert | 8 |  |
| - personal experience | 1 |  |
| **Interview TOTAL** | **14** |  |
| **SCC Library/Library webpage/Library online** | 16 |  |
| **Public Library** | 4 |  |

**Red Flags/Reminders**

* Remind to not spend money to purchase research information: from Bookstore or online
* Finding books: Most said: Ask librarian for books. Few said: library catalog
* Prefer academic library over public
* Wikipedia starting place ☺ / Cited source ☹
* Caution about interviewing “random people” online

**Question 3 – Data Credibility 52 total**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Authority** | **Total 32** |  |
| Expert/Credentialed | 9 |  |
|  - 1 specific author | 1 |  |
| - would research the author | 5 |  |
| - Would look at author’s other work | 1 |  |
| - a “known” author | 2 |  |
| - the website has an author | 4 |  |
| Wouldn’t use if not from an expert | 1 |  |
|  - nor blog | 3 |  |
| - -nor .com | 1 |  |
| - nor chat | 1 |  |
|  - nor Wikipedia | 1 |  |
| - nor commercial site | 1 |  |
| - nor fee-based site | 1 |  |
|  - nor (wiki) collaboratively written | 1 |  |
| **Source** | **Total 35** |  |
| .gov | 8 |  |
| .edu. | 9 |  |
| .org | 6 |  |
| Governemnt site | 1 |  |
| Educational site | 1 |  |
| From a university | 2 |  |
| Who is the audience? | 1 |  |
| Site have an aganeda? | 2 |  |
| From a journal | 1 |  |
| From a peer-reviewed journal | 1 |  |
| Wll-known publication | 1 |  |
| ProQuest (“search engine”) |  |  |
| From a library or found on a library webpage | 5 |  |
| **Quality** | **Total 14** |  |
| Accuracy/lack of inaccuracies | 2 |  |
| Corroboration with other info | 11 |  |
| Believability | 1 |  |
| **References** | **Total 6** |  |
| Source listed | 4 |  |
| Quality sources used | 2 |  |
| **Relevance** | **Total 2** |  |
| **Currency** | **Total 6** |  |
| **Approval**  | **Total 7** |  |
| Would seek approval of librarian | 4 |  |
| Would seek approval of instructor | 5 |  |
| **Other** | **Total 4** |  |
| Don’t care about credibility/would use it anyway | 2 |  |
| Author contactable | 1 |  |
| “Credible looking” | 1 |  |

**Red Flags**

* Cite/site/sight confusion
* Relevance = credibility confusion
* “look up the author” “figure out how reliable author is” Research author” How do they do this?
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